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## Talk Outline

$>$ Our previous model
$>$ What was wrong with it
$>$ A slide or two on reproducing kernels
> A (novel) tree-position kernel
$>$ The standard tree kernel
> Putting it all together
> Results

## Our Previous Model

$>$ Noisy-channel model for document compression; generative story:
$>$ Begin with a summary:

- The mayor is looking tor re-dection.
$>$ Add syntactic units:
- The mayor is now looking tor reefection.
- The mayor is not boling tor re election.
> Add discourse constituents:
- The mayor is now lodking tor re-elecilon. But without the support of the govemer, he is stim on shaty grounds
- The mayor is now lodking tor re-election Sharks have shap teeth.


## Syntax Probabilities

$$
P(V P \rightarrow V B Z A D V P V P-A \mid V P \rightarrow V B Z V P-A)
$$




$$
P(R B \rightarrow \text { now } \mid R B)
$$



## Discourse Probabilities



## Sources of Data

$>$ Syntax:
> Mined Ziff-Davis document/abstract corpus for pairs of compressions ( $\sim 2 \mathrm{k}$ sentences)
$>$ Mined MSN for several weeks ( $\sim 2$ sents)
$>$ Discourse:
> RST Corpus has EDU-level annotations for relevance ( $\sim 132$ documents)

## What's Wrong with It?

> CFG-style rules are too coarse:
$>$ Does this branch dominate 10 words or 500 ?
> Is my grandparent the root?
$>$ Are the words I dominate important?
$>$ CFG-style rules are too fine:
> 708/1061 syntax rules are singletons
> 629/1146 discourse rules are singletons
> How can we address both of these problems? KERNEL METHODS!!!

## A Slide on Kernels

$\Rightarrow$ Inputs $x \in X, y \in\{-1,+1\}$
$>$ Many learners only use $\left(x_{i} \cdot x_{j}\right)$
$>$ Replace with $\left(\phi\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot \phi\left(x_{j}\right)\right)$
$>$ Can often compute using a kernel:

$$
\left(\phi\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot \phi\left(x_{j}\right)\right)=k\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)
$$

$>$ Sufficient and necessary condition:
$>k$ is positive semi-definite:

$$
\iint f\left(x_{i}\right) k\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) f\left(x_{j}\right) d x_{i} d x_{j} \geqslant 0
$$

## ...or two: a simple example

$>$ Find a line to seperate these classes:


## ...or two.5: margins

> Separate these classes with a line


## ...or two.75: SVMs

> Support vector machines maximize margins in kernel space:
minimize $\quad\|w\|^{2}+C \sum_{i} \zeta_{i}$


## Tree-Position Kernel

> What ancestral features should help decide whether to keep a node or not?
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## Tree-Position Kernel

$>$ For any possible subpath $p$, define $\phi_{p}$ by:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{p}(t)=\lambda^{\text {length of } p \text { in } t} & \text { if } p \text { occurs in } t \\
\phi_{p}(t)=0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}
$$

for some $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ in $(0,1]$
$>$ Clearly cannot enumerate all such $\phi_{p}$
>But, we can compute $k_{\phi}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$ in time linear in the length of the longest path in $t$ or $t^{\prime}$ ?

## Sub-Tree Kernel

- What descendent features should help decide whether to keep a node or not?



## Sub-Tree Kernel

> Defined similarly to tree-position kernel: each possible subtree gets it's own feature, weigted by size
$>$ Can compute kernel in time linear in the product of the size of the two trees [Collins and Duffy] or linear in the sum of the sizes [Vishwarathan] -- we use the fast method

## Additional Features

> Also include global features:
> Length of dominated string
> Absolute sentence/word position
$>\{$ min,max,avg $\}$ tf-idf scores of dominated words
> Total document length
> Tag of current node
> Nuclearity of current node (discourse only)

## Putting It All Together

$>$ Recall that $k$ is a kernel iff for all $f$ :

$$
\iint f\left(x_{i}\right) k\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) f\left(x_{j}\right) d x_{i} d x_{j} \geqslant 0
$$

$>$ Easy to see that if $k$ and $l$ are kernels, and $\alpha$ is a positive real number, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k(\cdot, \cdot)+l(\cdot, \cdot) \quad \text { and } \\
& \alpha k(\cdot, \cdot)
\end{aligned}
$$

are both kernels
> We combine the three linearly

## Training the System

$>$ Use our own iterative optimization; each iteration is linear in number of examples
> Since discourse data and syntax data are disjoint, each is trained separately
> Results of classifier:

|  | Syntax | Discourse |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \# of training points | 19,702 | 8,735 |
| baseline accuracy | $53.2 \%$ | $51.9 \%$ |
| accuracy on training | $82.7 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ |
| x-val accuracy | $76.7 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |

## Language Model

$>$ Trigrams
> Linear interpolation of 300mw plain English model and 200kw headline model (EM on held-out headlines)
> Kneser-Ney smoothing

## Channel Model

$\Rightarrow$ Approximate $p(d \mid s)=p(s \mid d)$
> Convert classifier outputs to probabilities using sigmoids [Line tal.]
$>$ Classifier lets us calculate $p(s \mid d)$ by, eg,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{y} \mid \mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{xy} \mathrm{z})= \\
& \quad p(\text { keep } \mid \mathrm{y})(1-p(\text { keep } \mid \mathrm{x}))(1-p(\text { keep } \mid \mathrm{z}))
\end{aligned}
$$

## Decoder

> Irene's forest-ranker
$>$ Nodes with $\log (p)<-6$ are pruned
$>\sim 1400 \mathrm{w}$ document gives a forest with:
> ~6k nodes
> $\sim 3.1$ options per node
$>$ Produce best output at any length
> Take best one under 75 bytes
> modulo some ugly heuristics

## Example Outputs (1)

## > References:

$>$ Cambodian party defends leader Hun Sen against criticism of U.S. House
> CPP defends Hun Sen to US Senate. Asks rejection of nonbinding resolution.

- Cambodia's ruling party seeks to counter human right's criticism
$>$ US House seeks probe of Cambodian rights violations; Ruling party responds


## $>$ Our output:

$>$ Cambodia's ruling party responded criticized a resolution passed.

## Example Outputs (2)

## > References:

$>$ Uganda faces rebel forces on west (Congo) and north (Sudan)
> Rebels, likely ADF, attack Chiondo, killing 6. Soldiers kill 2 rebels.
$>$ Reels kill 6 civilians in Congolese villiage; Ugandan troops aid revels
> Congolese rebels kill 6 people before Ugandans drive them across border

## $>$ Our output:

$>$ Rebels attacked and killed six civilians said occurred overnight Wednesday

## Example Outputs (3)

## $>$ References:

$>$ Asia-Pacific economic summit in Kuala Lumpur faces sever problems
> Financial officials advise reform; topic likely to dominate at APEC talks
> Asian countries advised to restructure economies and corporations

- Gloom faces up-coming 18-nation Asia-Pac meet; turmoil in host nation.


## $>$ Our output:

- To adopt further reforms in their effor Among the necessary steps is


## Example Outputs (4)

## > References:

$>$ President's next premier-designate likely to be widely trusted lawmaker.
> President Demirel seeking new candidate to form new government
$>$ Ecevit fails 3-wk try to form majority. Long left-right split in parliament
\$ Speaker of Turkish parliament likely to form government; Islamic party out

## > Our output:

> President Suleyman Demirel appeared to persuade bickering political leaders

## DUC Evaluation Results

> System 75 on Task 1

|  | Human | Baseline | Best | Us |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rouge1 | 0.29 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.12 |
| Rouge2 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| Rouge3 | 0.033 | 0.018 | 0.0618 | 0.006 |
| Rouge4 | 0.013 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.0013 |
| RougeL | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.11 |
| RougeW | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.07 |
| $>$ | Bottom $\sim 8$ out of $\sim 50$ in all measures :) |  |  |  |

## Conclusions

> Tried something new \& interesting
$>$ Worked well as a standalone component
> Did not work well in a system...why?
> Poorly combined kernels
> Use of Bayes' un-rule
$>$ Components trained separately
> Data mismatch:
$>10 \%$ extracts on discourse (news), $53 \%$ on syntax (Ziff-Davis)
$>$ Expected production: $1 \%$ extracts, headlines, sometimes keywords
$>$ Poor language model
> ...or perhaps it did...we'll never know

## Future Work (if any)

$>$ Use automatic alignments to get data
> Include syntax-based decoder
> ...or don't use a language model at all!
> Use more global features
> Evaluate by hand
$>$...on something other than news
$>$...with more than 75 bytes
> Shameless plug:
www.isi.edu/~hdaume/SVMsequel

